

Lecture 8

Zero-One law and Wiener chaos

In this Lecture we introduce the Hermite polynomials, which provide an orthonormal basis in $L^2(X, \gamma)$. Accordingly, $L^2(X, \gamma)$ is decomposed as the Hilbert sum of the (mutually orthogonal) subspaces \mathcal{X}_k generated by the polynomials of degree $k \in \mathbb{N}$, see Proposition 8.1.9. Knowing explicitly an orthonormal basis in this not elementary setting is a real luxury! The term *chaos* has been introduced by Wiener in [W] and the structure that we discuss here is usually called *Wiener chaos*. Of course, the Hermite polynomials are used in several proofs, including that of the zero-one law. The expression “zero-one law” is used in different probabilistic contexts, where the final statement is that a certain event has probability either 0 or 1. In our case we show that every measurable subspace has measure either 0 or 1.

We work as usual in a separable Banach space X endowed with a centred Gaussian measure γ . The symbols R_γ , X_γ^* , H have the usual meaning.

8.1 Hermite polynomials

As first step, we introduce the Hermite polynomials and we present their main properties. We shall encounter them in many occasions; further properties will be presented when needed.

8.1.1 Hermite polynomials in finite dimension

To start with, we introduce the one dimensional Hermite polynomials.

Definition 8.1.1. *The sequence of Hermite polynomials in \mathbb{R} is defined by*

$$H_k(x) = \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{k!}} \exp\{x^2/2\} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \exp\{-x^2/2\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.1.1)$$

Then, $H_0(x) \equiv 1$, $H_1(x) = x$, $H_2(x) = (x^2 - 1)/\sqrt{2}$, $H_3(x) = (x^3 - 3x)/\sqrt{6}$, etc. Some properties of Hermite polynomials are listed below. Their proofs are easy, and left as exercises, see Exercise 8.1.

Lemma 8.1.2. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, H_k is a polynomial of degree k , with positive leading coefficient. Moreover, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{cases} (i) & H'_k(x) = \sqrt{k}H_{k-1}(x) = xH_k(x) - \sqrt{k+1}H_{k+1}(x), \\ (ii) & H''_k(x) - xH'_k(x) = -kH_k(x). \end{cases} \quad (8.1.2)$$

Note that formula (ii) says that H_k is an eigenfunction of the one dimensional *Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator* $D^2 - xD$, with eigenvalue $-k$. This operator will play an important role in the next lectures.

Proposition 8.1.3. *The set of the Hermite polynomials is an orthonormal Hilbert basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \gamma_1)$.*

Proof. We introduce the auxiliary analytic function

$$F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad F(t, x) := e^{-t^2/2+tx}.$$

Since

$$F(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(-\frac{t^2}{2} + tx \right)^k,$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the Taylor expansion of F with respect to t , centred at $t = 0$, converges for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and we write it as

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, x) &= e^{x^2/2} e^{-(t-x)^2/2} = e^{x^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} e^{-(t-x)^2/2} \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} e^{x^2/2} (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{\sqrt{n!}} H_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

So, for $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$F(t, x)F(s, x) = e^{-(t^2+s^2)/2+(t+s)x} = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \frac{s^m}{\sqrt{m!}} H_n(x)H_m(x).$$

Integrating with respect to x in \mathbb{R} and recalling that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda x} \gamma_1(dx) = e^{\lambda^2/2}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t, x)F(s, x) \gamma_1(dx) = e^{-(t^2+s^2)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(t+s)x} \gamma_1(dx) = e^{ts} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n s^n}{n!},$$

as well as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t, x)F(s, x) \gamma_1(dx) = \sum_{n, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \frac{s^m}{\sqrt{m!}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_n(x)H_m(x) \gamma_1(dx).$$

Comparing the series gives, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_n(x)H_m(x) \gamma_1(dx) = \delta_{n, m},$$

which shows that the set of the Hermite polynomials is orthonormal.

Let now $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \gamma_1)$ be orthogonal to all the Hermite polynomials. Since the linear span of $\{H_k : k \leq n\}$ is the set of all polynomials of degree $\leq n$, f is orthogonal to all powers x^n . Then, all the derivatives of the holomorphic function

$$g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\{ixz\}f(x) d\gamma_1(x)$$

vanish at $z = 0$, showing that $g \equiv 0$. For $z = t \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(t)$ is nothing but (a multiple of) the Fourier transform of $x \mapsto f(x)e^{-x^2/2}$, which therefore vanishes a.e. So, $f(x) = 0$ a.e., and the proof is complete. \square

Next, we define d -dimensional Hermite polynomials.

Definition 8.1.4. *If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$ is a multiindex, we define the polynomial H_α by*

$$H_\alpha(x) = H_{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots H_{\alpha_d}(x_d), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (8.1.3)$$

Proposition 8.1.5. *The system of Hermite polynomials is an orthonormal Hilbert basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$. Moreover, for every multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ the following equality holds,*

$$\Delta H_\alpha(x) - \langle x, \nabla H_\alpha(x) \rangle = -\left(\sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j\right) H_\alpha(x). \quad (8.1.4)$$

Proof. Since γ_d is the product measure of d copies of γ_1 , and every H_α is a product of one dimensional Hermite polynomials, Proposition 8.1.3 yields $\langle H_\alpha, H_\beta \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} = 1$ if $\alpha = \beta$ and $\langle H_\alpha, H_\beta \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. Completeness may be shown by recurrence on d . By Proposition 8.1.3 the statement holds for $d = 1$. Assume that the statement holds for $d = n - 1$, and let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_n)$ be orthogonal to all Hermite polynomials in \mathbb{R}^n . The Hermite polynomials in \mathbb{R}^n are all the functions of the form $H_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) = H_k(x_1)H_\beta(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\beta \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{n-1}$. So, for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\beta \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{n-1}$ we have

$$0 = \langle f, H_\alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(H_k(x_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(x_1, y) H_\beta(y) \gamma_{n-1}(dy) \right) \gamma_1(dx_1).$$

Then, the function $g(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(x_1, y) H_\beta(y) \gamma_{n-1}(dy)$ is orthogonal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \gamma_1)$ to all H_k . By Proposition 8.1.3 it vanishes for a.e. x_1 , which means that for a.e. $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ the

function $f(x_1, \cdot)$ is orthogonal, in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \gamma_{n-1})$, to all Hermite polynomials H_β . By the recurrence assumption, $f(x_1, y)$ vanishes for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

For $d = 1$ equality (8.1.4) has already been stated in Lemma 8.1.2. For $d \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_j H_\alpha(x) &= H'_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \prod_{h \neq j} H_{\alpha_h}(x_h) \\ \Delta H_\alpha(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^d H''_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \prod_{h \neq j} H_{\alpha_h}(x_h) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left[x_j H'_{\alpha_j}(x_j) - \alpha_j H_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \right] \prod_{h \neq j} H_{\alpha_h}(x_h) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d x_j D_j H_\alpha(x) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \right) H_\alpha(x) = \langle x, \nabla H_\alpha(x) \rangle - \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \right) H_\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us denote by \mathcal{X}_k the linear span of all Hermite polynomials of degree k . It is a finite dimensional subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$, hence it is closed. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$, we denote by $I_k(f)$ the orthogonal projection of f on \mathcal{X}_k , given by

$$I_k(f) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \langle f, H_\alpha \rangle H_\alpha. \quad (8.1.5)$$

We recall that if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ then $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, so that the degree of H_α is $|\alpha|$. By Proposition 8.1.5 we have

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k(f), \quad (8.1.6)$$

where the series converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$.

8.1.2 The infinite dimensional case

The Hermite polynomials in infinite dimension are the functions $x \mapsto H_\alpha(\ell_1(x), \dots, \ell_d(x))$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$, H_α is any Hermite polynomial in \mathbb{R}^d , and $\ell_j \in X^*$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$ and $H_\alpha = 1$ if $\alpha = 0$. To our aim, it is enough to consider the sequence of elements of X^* given by $\ell_j = \hat{h}_j$, where $\{\hat{h}_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a fixed orthonormal basis of X_γ^* , so that $\{h_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a fixed orthonormal basis of H . We pointm out that this is always possible, see Lemma 3.1.9.

For notational convenience we introduce the set Λ of multi-indices $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^\mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_j)$, with finite length $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j < \infty$. Λ is just the set of all $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ -valued sequences, that are eventually 0.

Definition 8.1.6. For every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $\alpha = (\alpha_j)$, we set

$$H_\alpha(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H_{\alpha_j}(\hat{h}_j(x)), \quad x \in X. \quad (8.1.7)$$

Note that only a finite number of terms in the above product are different from 1. So, every H_α is a smooth function with polynomial growth at infinity, namely $|H_\alpha(x)| \leq C(1 + \|x\|^{|\alpha|})$. Therefore, $H_\alpha \in L^p(X, \gamma)$ for every $p \geq 1$.

Theorem 8.1.7. *The set $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(X, \gamma)$.*

Proof. Let us first show the orthogonality. Let α, β be in Λ , and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\alpha_j = \beta_j = 0$ for every $j > d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X H_\alpha H_\beta d\gamma &= \int_X \prod_{j=1}^d H_{\alpha_j}(\hat{h}_j(x)) H_{\beta_j}(\hat{h}_j(x)) \gamma(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{j=1}^d H_{\alpha_j}(\xi_j) H_{\beta_j}(\xi_j) \gamma_d(d\xi) \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to 1 if $\alpha_j = \beta_j$ for every j (namely, if $\alpha = \beta$), otherwise it vanishes. The statement follows.

Next, let us prove that the linear span of the H_α with $\alpha \in \Lambda$ is dense in $L^2(X, \gamma)$. By Theorem 7.4.6, the cylindrical functions of the type $f(x) = \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ are dense in $L^2(X, \gamma)$. So, it is sufficient to approach such functions. To this aim, we recall that the linear span of the Hermite polynomials in \mathbb{R}^d is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$, by Proposition 8.1.5; more precisely the sequence

$$\sum_{k=0}^n I_k^{(d)}(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d, |\alpha|=k} \langle \varphi, H_\alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} H_\alpha$$

converges to φ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d, |\alpha|=k} \langle \varphi, H_\alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} H_\alpha(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X.$$

Since $\gamma \circ (\hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_d)^{-1}$ is the standard Gaussian measure γ_d in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\|f - f_n\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)} = \left\| \varphi - \sum_{k=0}^n I_k^{(d)}(\varphi) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(X, \gamma)$. □

Definition 8.1.8. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ we set*

$$\mathcal{X}_k = \overline{\text{span}\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda, |\alpha| = k\}},$$

where the closure is in $L^2(X, \gamma)$.

For $k = 0$, \mathcal{X}_0 is the subset of $L^2(X, \gamma)$ consisting of constant functions. In contrast with the case $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, for any fixed length $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are infinitely many Hermite polynomials H_α with $|\alpha| = k$, so that \mathcal{X}_k is infinite dimensional. For $k = 1$, \mathcal{X}_1 is the closure of the linear span of the functions \hat{h}_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, that are the Hermite polynomials H_α with $|\alpha| = 1$. Therefore, it coincides with X_γ^* .

Proposition 8.1.9. (*The Wiener Chaos decomposition*)

$$L^2(X, \gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{X}_k.$$

Proof. Since $\langle H_\alpha, H_\beta \rangle_{L^2(X, \gamma)} = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, the subspaces \mathcal{X}_k are mutually orthogonal. Moreover, they span $L^2(X, \gamma)$ by Theorem 8.1.7. \square

As in finite dimension, we denote by I_k the orthogonal projection on \mathcal{X}_k . So,

$$I_k(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda, |\alpha|=k} \langle f, H_\alpha \rangle_{L^2(X, \gamma)} H_\alpha, \quad f \in L^2(X, \gamma), \quad (8.1.8)$$

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k(f), \quad f \in L^2(X, \gamma), \quad (8.1.9)$$

where the series converge in $L^2(X, \gamma)$.

8.2 The zero-one law

We start this section by presenting an important technical notion that we need later, that of *completion* of a σ -algebra.

Definition 8.2.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X and let γ be a measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) . The completion of \mathcal{F} is the family*

$$\mathcal{F}_\gamma = \left\{ E \subset X : \exists B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } B_1 \subset E \subset B_2, \gamma(B_2 \setminus B_1) = 0 \right\}.$$

We leave as an exercise to verify that \mathcal{F}_γ is a σ -algebra. The measure γ is extended to \mathcal{F}_γ in the natural way. From now on, unless otherwise specified, a set $E \subset X$ is called *measurable* if it belongs to the completed σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)_\gamma = \mathcal{E}(X)_\gamma$. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 8.2.2. *If V is a measurable affine subspace of $X^{(1)}$, then $\gamma(V) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

We need some preliminary results.

Proposition 8.2.3. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)_\gamma$ is such that $\gamma(A + h) = \gamma(A)$ for all $h \in H$, then $\gamma(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

⁽¹⁾By measurable affine subspace we mean a set $V = V_0 + x_0$, with V_0 measurable (linear) subspace and $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. Let $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of H . Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the function

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \gamma(A - t_1 h_1 + \dots - t_n h_n) = \int_A \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n t_j \hat{h}_j(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n t_j^2\right\} \gamma(dx)$$

is constant. Therefore, for all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ not all 0 we get

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n} F}{\partial t_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial t_n^{\alpha_n}}(0, \dots, 0) = 0.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 8.1.3 we get

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\partial t_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial t_n^{\alpha_n}} \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n t_j \hat{h}_j(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n t_j^2\right\} \Big|_{t_1 = \dots = t_n = 0} = H_{\alpha_1}(\hat{h}_1(x)) \cdot \dots \cdot H_{\alpha_n}(\hat{h}_n(x))$$

(where H_{α_j} are the 1-dimensional Hermite polynomials), whence

$$\int_X H_{\alpha_1}(\hat{h}_1(x)) \cdot \dots \cdot H_{\alpha_n}(\hat{h}_n(x)) \mathbb{1}_A(x) \gamma(dx) = 0.$$

It follows that the function $\mathbb{1}_A$ is orthogonal to all nonconstant Hermite polynomials and then by Theorem 8.1.7 it is constant, i.e., either $\mathbb{1}_A = 0$ or $\mathbb{1}_A = 1$ a.e. \square

Corollary 8.2.4. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)_\gamma$ is such that $\gamma(A \setminus (A + h)) = 0$ for every $h \in H$, then $\gamma(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. Since if $h \in H$ also $-h \in H$, we deduce that $\gamma(A \setminus (A - h)) = 0$ for all $h \in H$ and then $\gamma((A + h) \setminus A) = 0$. In conclusion

$$\gamma(A + h) = \gamma(A), \quad \forall h \in H$$

and we conclude by applying Proposition 8.2.3. \square

Corollary 8.2.5. *If f is a measurable function such that $f(x + h) = f(x)$, for all $h \in H$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = c$ for a.e. $x \in X$.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.2.3, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ either $\gamma(\{x \in X : f(x) < t\}) = 1$ or $\gamma(\{x \in X : f(x) < t\}) = 0$. Since the function $t \mapsto \gamma(\{x \in X : f(x) < t\})$ is increasing, there exists exactly one $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma(\{x \in X : f < t\}) = 0$ for all $t < c$ and $\gamma(\{x \in X : f < t\}) = 1$ for all $t \geq c$. Then,

$$\gamma(\{x \in X : f(x) = c\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(\left\{x \in X : c - \frac{1}{n} \leq f(x) < c + \frac{1}{n}\right\}\right) = 1.$$

\square

Now we prove our main theorem.

Proof. of Theorem 8.2.2 Let us assume first that V is a linear subspace. As we shall see at the end of the proof, passing to an affine subspace requires to prove the statement for non-centred measures.

Let V be a measurable linear space. If $V = X$ there is nothing to prove, so we may assume $X \setminus V \neq \emptyset$. Let us consider $X \times X$ with the product measure $\gamma \otimes \gamma$ and the projections $p_1, p_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$,

$$p_1(x, y) = x, \quad p_2(x, y) = y,$$

whose law is γ ; let us define the sets

$$A_k = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \notin V\} \cap \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y + kx \in V\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $j \neq k$, then $A_j \cap A_k = \emptyset$; indeed, if $y + jx, y + kx \in V$, $(j - k)x \in V$ and if $j \neq k$ then $x \in V$.

We notice that, by defining $\mu_k = (\gamma \otimes \gamma) \circ (kp_1 + p_2)^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}_k(f) &= \int_X e^{if(x)} \mu_k(dx) = \int_{X \times X} e^{if(kp_1(x,y) + p_2(x,y))} (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(d(x, y)) \\ &= \int_{X \times X} e^{ikf(x) + if(y)} (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(d(x, y)) = \int_X e^{ikf(x)} \gamma(dx) \int_X e^{if(y)} \gamma(dy) \\ &= e^{-\frac{k^2}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)}^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)}^2} = e^{-\frac{k^2+1}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in X^*$. On the other hand, defining $\nu_k = (\gamma \otimes \gamma) \circ (\sqrt{k^2 + 1}p_1)^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nu}_k(f) &= \int_X e^{if(x)} \nu_k(dx) = \int_{X \times X} e^{if(\sqrt{k^2+1}p_1(x,y))} (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(d(x, y)) \\ &= \int_{X \times X} e^{i\sqrt{k^2+1}f(x)} (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(d(x, y)) = e^{-\frac{k^2+1}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So the random variables $kp_1 + p_2$ and $\sqrt{k^2 + 1}p_1$ are identically distributed, i.e., they have the same law. In addition, since $x, y \in V$ if and only if $x, y + kx \in V$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(A_k) &= (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(\{(x, y) \in X \times X : y + kx \in V\}) \\ &\quad - (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \in V\} \cap \{(x, y) : y + kx \in V\}) \\ &= (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(\{(x, y) \in X \times X : \sqrt{1 + k^2}x \in V\}) \\ &\quad - (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \in V\} \cap \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y \in V\}) \\ &= (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \in V\}) - (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \in V\})^2 \\ &= \gamma(V) - \gamma(V)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the the sets A_k are pairwise disjoint, $(\gamma \otimes \gamma)(A_k) = 0$, and then $\gamma(V) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let now V be an affine subspace. Then, there is x_0 such that $V_0 = V + x_0$ is a vector subspace, hence, applying the result for V_0 to the measure γ_{x_0} we obtain $\gamma(V) = \gamma_{x_0}(V + x_0) \in \{0, 1\}$. \square

Remark 8.2.6. The proof of Theorem 8.2.2 is much simpler for centred measures, if we confine to linear subspaces. Indeed, let V be a measurable linear subspace. If $\gamma(V) = 0$ there is nothing to prove. If $\gamma(V) > 0$ then there is $c > 0$ such that $B_H(0, c) \subset V - V = V$, see Proposition 3.1.6. Then, $H \subset V$, $V + h = V$ for every $h \in H$ and by Proposition 8.2.3 we have $\gamma(V) = 1$.

8.3 Measurable linear functionals

In this section we give the notion of measurable linear functionals and we prove that such functions are just the elements of X_γ^* .

Definition 8.3.1 (Measurable linear functionals). *We say that $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable linear functional or γ -measurable linear functional if there exist a measurable subspace $V \subset X$ with $\gamma(V) = 1$ and a γ -measurable function $f_0 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f_0 is linear on V and $f = f_0$ γ -a.e.*

In the above definition $f = f_0$ γ -a.e., so we may modify any measurable linear functional on a negligible set in such a way that the modification is still measurable, as the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ has been completed, it is defined *everywhere* on a full-measure subspace V and it is linear on V . This will be always done in what follows. As by Theorem 3.1.8(ii), which is easily checked to hold for all measurable subspaces and not only for Boler subspaces, the Cameron-Martin space H is contained in V , all measurable linear functionals will be defined everywhere and linear on H .

Example 8.3.2. Let us exhibit two simple examples of measurable linear functionals which are not continuous.

- (i) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional defined by

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k x_k$$

where $(c_k) \in \ell^2$. Here, as usual \mathbb{R}^∞ is endowed with a countable product of standard 1-dimensional Gaussian measure, see (4.1.1). Indeed, the series defining f converges γ -a.e. in \mathbb{R}^∞ , but only the restriction of f to \mathbb{R}_c^∞ is continuous.

- (ii) Let X be a Hilbert space endowed with the Gaussian measure $\gamma = \mathcal{N}(0, Q)$, where Q is a selfadjoint positive trace-class operator with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of Q in X with $Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix a sequence $(c_k) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the series $\sum_k c_k^2 \lambda_k$ is convergent and define the functional

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \langle x, e_k \rangle_X.$$

Then, f is a measurable linear functional on X which is not continuous if $(c_k) \notin \ell^2$, see Exercise 8.5.

We shall call *proper measurable linear functionals* the measurable linear functionals that are linear on X .

Proposition 8.3.3. *Let f be a measurable linear functional and let V be a full measure subspace such that f is linear on V . If $X \setminus V \neq \emptyset$ then there is a modification of f on the γ -negligible set $X \setminus V$ which is proper.*

Proof. If V is a complemented subspace, just put $f = 0$ on the complementary space. If not, we use the existence of a vector (or Hamel) basis in X , i.e., an infinite (indeed, uncountable) linearly independent set of generators, see [E, Theorem 1.4.5]. Notice that the existence of such a basis is equivalent to the (countable, as X is separable) axiom of choice or Zorn Lemma. Fix a Hamel basis of V , say $\mathcal{B} = \{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{A}\}$ for a suitable set of indices \mathbb{A} . Then, complete \mathcal{B} in order to get a basis of X and extend $f|_V$ setting $f = 0$ on the added generators. The extension of $f|_V$ is different from f on a γ -negligible set and is linear on the whole of X . \square

The first result on the measurable linear functionals is the following.

Proposition 8.3.4. *If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear measurable functional, then its restriction to H is continuous with respect to the norm of H .*

Proof. Setting $V_n = \{f \leq n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $X = \cup_n V_n$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma(V_{n_0}) > 0$. By Lemma 3.1.6 there is $r > 0$ such that $B_H(0, r) \subset V_{n_0} - V_{n_0}$, and therefore

$$\sup_{h \in B_H(0, r)} |f(h)| \leq 2n_0.$$

\square

For the statement of Proposition 8.3.4 to be meaningful, f has to be defined *everywhere* on the subspace V in definition 8.3.1, because H is negligible. Nevertheless, proper functionals are uniquely determined by their values on H .

Lemma 8.3.5. *Let f be a proper measurable linear functional. If $f \in X_\gamma^*$ then*

$$f(h) = [R_\gamma f, h]_H = \int_X f(x) \hat{h}(x) \gamma(dx), \quad \forall h \in H. \quad (8.3.1)$$

Proof. The second equality is nothing but the definition of inner product in H . In order to prove the first one, consider a sequence $(f_n) \subset X^*$ converging to f in $L^2(X, \gamma)$ and fix $h \in H$. By (2.3.6), writing as usual $h = R_\gamma \hat{h}$, we have

$$f_n(h) = f_n(R_\gamma \hat{h}) = \int_X f_n(x) \hat{h}(x) \gamma(dx).$$

The right hand side converges to the right hand side of (8.3.1), hence (up to a subsequence that we do not relabel) $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. Then

$$L = \{x \in X : f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)\}$$

is a measurable linear subspace of full measure, hence L contains H thanks to Proposition 3.1.8(ii). Therefore, $f(h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(h)$ and this is true for all $h \in H$. \square

Corollary 8.3.6. *If (f_n) is a sequence of proper measurable linear functionals converging to 0 in measure, then their restrictions $f_n|_H$ converge to 0 uniformly on the bounded subsets of H .*

Proof. Let us first show that the convergence in measure defined in (1.1.4) implies the convergence in $L^2(X, \gamma)$. Indeed, if $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in measure then

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\|f_n\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)}^2\right\} = \hat{\gamma}(f_n) \rightarrow 1,$$

whence $\|f_n\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 8.3.5

$$|f_n(h)| \leq \int_X |f_n(x)| |\hat{h}(x)| \gamma(dx) \leq \|f_n\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)} |h|_H, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

To show that the sequence $(f_n|_H)$ converges uniformly on the bounded sets, it is enough to consider the unit ball:

$$\sup_{h \in H, |h|_H \leq 1} |f_n|_H(h) \leq \|f_n\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Proposition 8.3.7. *If f and g are two measurable linear functionals, then either $\gamma(\{f = g\}) = 1$ or $\gamma(\{f = g\}) = 0$. We have $\gamma(\{f = g\}) = 1$ if and only if $f = g$ on H .*

Proof. According to Proposition 8.3.3, we may assume that f and g are proper; then $L = \{f = g\}$ is a measurable vector space. By Theorem 8.2.2 either $\gamma(L) = 0$, or $\gamma(L) = 1$. If $\gamma(L) = 1$ then $H \subset L$ by Proposition 3.1.8(ii) and then $f = g$ on H . Conversely, if $f = g$ in H then the measurable function $\varphi := f - g$ verifies $\varphi(x + h) = \varphi(x)$ for every $h \in H$. By Corollary 8.2.5 $\varphi = c$ a.e., but as φ is linear, $c = 0$. □

Notice that in the proof of Proposition 8.3.7 we use the extension of the measure γ to the completed σ -algebra, because we don't know whether $L = \{f = g\}$ is a Borel vector space. Moreover, as a consequence of Proposition 8.3.7, if a measurable linear functional vanishes on a dense subspace of H then it vanishes a.e. Indeed, any measurable linear functional is continuous on H , hence if it vanishes on a dense set then it vanishes everywhere in H .

Theorem 8.3.8. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $f \in X_\gamma^*$.
- (ii) There is a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^*$ that converges to f in measure.
- (iii) f is a measurable linear functional.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) is obvious.

(ii) \implies (iii) If $(f_n) \subset X^*$ converges to f in measure, then (up to subsequences that we do not relabel) $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. and therefore defining

$$V = \{x \in X : \exists \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x)\},$$

V is a measurable subspace and $\gamma(V) = 1$, hence we may define also the functional

$$f_0(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x), \quad x \in V.$$

V and f_0 satisfy the conditions of Definition 8.3.1 and therefore f is a measurable linear functional.

(iii) \implies (i) Let f be a measurable linear functional and, without loss of generality, assume that f is proper. By the Fernique Theorem $f \in L^2(X, \gamma)$ and by Proposition 8.3.4, f is continuous on H . Fix an orthonormal basis $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of H and define

$$g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(h_n) \hat{h}_n \in X_\gamma^*.$$

We already know that the elements of X_γ^* are measurable linear functionals, so g is a measurable linear functional, and by definition $f = g$ on H , so that by Proposition 8.3.7, $f = g$ γ a.e. and $f \in X_\gamma^*$. \square

8.4 Exercises

Exercise 8.1. Prove the equalities (8.1.2).

Exercise 8.2. Prove that the class of functions of the form $H_{\alpha_1}(\ell_1 \cdot x) \cdots H_{\alpha_d}(\ell_d \cdot x)$, where H_{α_j} are Hermite polynomials and ℓ_j are elements of \mathbb{R}^d , is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$.

Exercise 8.3. Verify that the family \mathcal{F}_γ introduced in Definition 8.2.1 is a σ -algebra. Prove also that the measure γ , extended to \mathcal{F}_γ by $\gamma(E) = \gamma(B_1) = \gamma(B_2)$ for E, B_1, B_2 as in Definition 8.2.1, is still a measure.

Exercise 8.4. Prove that if A is a measurable set such that $A + rh_j = A$ up to γ -negligible sets with $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\{h_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ an orthonormal basis of H , then $\gamma(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Hint: Use the continuity of the map $h \mapsto \gamma(A + h)$ in H .

Exercise 8.5. Prove that the functionals f defined in Example 8.3.2 enjoy the stated properties.

Hint: For the case (ii), prove that $f \in L^2(X, \gamma)$.

Bibliography

[B] V. I. BOGACHEV: *Gaussian Measures*. American Mathematical Society, 1998.

- [E] R.E. EDWARDS: *Functional Analysis. Theory and applications*, Holt, Rinehard and Winston, 1965 and Dover, 1995.
- [W] N. WIENER: The homogeneous chaos, *Amer. J. Math.* **60** (1938), 897-936.