

## Lecture 13

# The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

In this lecture we study the infinitesimal generator of  $T_p(t)$ , for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . The strongest result is the characterisation of the domain of the generator  $L_2$  of  $T_2(t)$  as the Sobolev space  $W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$ . A similar result holds for  $p \in (1, +\infty) \setminus \{2\}$ , but the proof is much more complicated and will not be given here.

### 13.1 The finite dimensional case

Here,  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\gamma = \gamma_d$ . We describe the infinitesimal generator  $L_p$  of  $T_p(t)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , which is a suitable realisation of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) := \Delta f(x) - x \cdot \nabla f(x) \quad (13.1.1)$$

in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ .

We recall that

$$D(L_p) = \left\{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) : \exists L^p - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t} \right\},$$
$$L_p f = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t}.$$

If  $f \in D(L_p)$ , by Lemma 11.1.7 the function  $t \mapsto T(t)f$  belongs to  $C^1([0, +\infty); L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)) \cap C([0, +\infty); D(L_p))$  and  $d/dt T(t)f = L_p T(t)f$ , for every  $t \geq 0$ . To find an expression of  $L_p$ , we differentiate  $T(t)f$  with respect to time for good  $f$ . We recall that for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $T_p(t)f = T(t)f$  is given by formula (12.1.1).

**Lemma 13.1.1.** *For every  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the function  $(t, x) \mapsto T(t)f(x)$  is smooth in  $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , and we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}(T(t)f)(x) = \Delta T(t)f(x) - x \cdot \nabla T(t)f(x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (13.1.2)$$

If  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the function  $t \mapsto T(t)f(x)$  is differentiable also at  $t = 0$ , with

$$\frac{d}{dt}(T(t)f)(x)|_{t=0} = \Delta f(x) - x \cdot \nabla f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (13.1.3)$$

and the function  $(t, x) \mapsto d/dt (T(t)f)(x)$  is continuous in  $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Proof.* Setting  $z = e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y$  in (12.1.1) we see that  $(t, x) \mapsto T(t)f(x)$  is smooth in  $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , and that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(T(t)f)(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \exp \left\{ -\frac{|z - e^{-t}x|^2}{2(1 - e^{-2t})} \right\} (1 - e^{-2t})^{-d/2} \right) dz \\ &= \frac{(1 - e^{-2t})^{-d/2}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(z) \exp \left\{ -\frac{|z - e^{-t}x|^2}{2(1 - e^{-2t})} \right\} \\ &\quad \left( -\frac{e^{-t}(z - e^{-t}x) \cdot x}{1 - e^{-2t}} + \frac{e^{-2t}|z - e^{-t}x|^2}{(1 - e^{-2t})^2} - \frac{de^{-2t}}{1 - e^{-2t}} \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y) (-c(t)y \cdot x + c(t)^2|y|^2 - dc(t)^2) \gamma_d(dy), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(t) = e^{-t}/\sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}$ . Differentiating twice with respect to  $x$  in (12.1.1) (recall (12.1.5)), we obtain

$$D_{ij}(T(t)f)(x) = c(t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y) (-\delta_{ij} + y_i y_j) \gamma_d(dy)$$

so that

$$\Delta T(t)f(x) = c(t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y) (-d + |y|^2) \gamma_d(dy).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(T(t)f)(x) - \Delta T(t)f(x) &= -c(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y) x \cdot y \gamma_d(dy) \\ &= -\nabla T(t)f(x) \cdot x, \end{aligned}$$

and (13.1.2) follows.

For  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we rewrite formula (13.1.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(T(t)f)(x) &= (\mathcal{L}T(t)f)(x) \\ &= e^{-2t}(T(t)\Delta f)(x) - e^{-t}x \cdot (T(t)\nabla f)(x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (13.1.4)$$

taking into account (12.1.7) and (12.1.9). (We recall that  $(T(t)\nabla f)(x)$  is the vector whose  $j$ -th component is  $T(t)D_j f(x)$ ). Since  $\Delta f$  and each  $D_j f$  are continuous and bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , the right hand side is continuous in  $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . So, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the function  $\theta(t) := T(t)f(x)$  is continuous in  $[0, +\infty)$ , it is differentiable in  $(0, +\infty)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta'(t) = \Delta f(x) - x \cdot \nabla f(x)$ . Therefore,  $\theta$  is differentiable at 0 too, (13.1.4) holds also at  $t = 0$ , and (13.1.3) follows.  $\square$

Lemma 13.1.1 suggests that  $L_p$  is a suitable realisation of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck differential operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined in (13.1.1). For a first characterisation of  $L_p$  we use Lemma 11.1.9.

**Proposition 13.1.2.** *For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L} : D(\mathcal{L}) = C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  is closable, and its closure is  $L_p$ . So,  $D(L_p)$  consists of all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  for which there exists a sequence of functions  $(f_n) \subset C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}f_n)$  converges in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . In this case,  $L_p f = L^p - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}f_n$ .*

*Proof.* We check that  $D = C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 11.1.9, i.e., it is a core of  $L_p$ . We already know, from Proposition 12.1.4, that  $T(t)$  maps  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into itself for  $k = 1, 2$ . The proof of the fact that  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  and that  $T(t)$  maps  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into itself for  $k \geq 3$  is left as Exercise 13.2.

Since  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $k \geq 2$ , it remains to prove that  $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset D(L_p)$ , and  $L_p f = \mathcal{L}f$  for every  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

By Lemma 13.1.1, for every  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have  $d/dt T(t)f(x) = \mathcal{L}T(t)f(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \geq 0$ ; moreover  $t \mapsto d/dt T(t)f(x)$  is continuous for every  $x$ . Therefore for every  $t > 0$  we have

$$\frac{T(t)f(x) - f(x)}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} T(s)f(x) ds = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}T(s)f(x) ds,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{T(t)f(x) - f(x)}{t} - \mathcal{L}f(x) \right|^p \gamma_d(dx) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\mathcal{L}T(s)f(x) - \mathcal{L}f(x)| ds \right)^p \gamma_d(dx).$$

Since  $s \mapsto \mathcal{L}T(s)f(x)$  is continuous, for every  $x$  we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\mathcal{L}T(s)f(x) - \mathcal{L}f(x)| ds \right)^p = 0.$$

Moreover, by (13.1.4),

$$\left( \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\mathcal{L}T(s)f(x) - \mathcal{L}f(x)| ds \right)^p \leq 2^p (\|\Delta f\|_\infty + |x| \|\nabla f\|_\infty)^p \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d).$$

By the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{T(t)f(x) - f(x)}{t} - \mathcal{L}f(x) \right|^p \gamma_d(dx) = 0.$$

Then,  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset D(L_p)$ . Since  $L_p$  is a closed operator and it is an extension of  $\mathcal{L} : C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ ,  $\mathcal{L} : C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  is closable.

Applying Lemma 11.1.9 with  $D = C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we obtain that  $D(L_p)$  is the closure of  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the graph norm of  $L_p$ , namely  $f \in D(L_p)$  iff there exists a sequence  $(f_n) \subset C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  and  $L_p f_n = \mathcal{L}f_n$  converges in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . This shows that  $L_p$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{L} : C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ .  $\square$

In the case  $p = 2$  we obtain other characterisations of  $D(L_2)$ . To start with, we point out some important properties of  $\mathcal{L}$ , when applied to elements of  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ .

**Lemma 13.1.3.** (a)  $\mathcal{L} : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  is a bounded operator;

(b) for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ ,  $g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}f g d\gamma_d = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g d\gamma_d. \quad (13.1.5)$$

(c) for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  we have

$$\mathcal{L}f = \operatorname{div}_{\gamma_d} \nabla f. \quad (13.1.6)$$

*Proof.* To prove (a) it is sufficient to show that the mapping  $T : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  defined by  $(Tf)(x) := x \cdot \nabla f(x)$  is bounded. For every  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , set  $g_i(x) = x_i D_i f(x)$ . The mapping  $f \mapsto g_i$  is bounded from  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  by Lemma 10.2.6, and summing up the statement follows.

To prove (b) it is sufficient to apply the integration by parts formula (9.1.3) to compute  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_{ii} f g d\gamma_d$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and to sum up. In fact, (9.1.3) was stated for  $C_b^1$  functions, but it is readily extended to Sobolev functions using Proposition 9.1.5.

Statement (c) follows from Theorem 10.2.7. In this case we have  $H = \mathbb{R}^d$ , and it is convenient to take the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as a basis for  $H$ . So, we have  $\hat{h}_i(x) = x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and  $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma_d} v(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_i v_i - x_i v_i$ , for every  $v \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Taking  $v = \nabla f$ , (13.1.6) follows.  $\square$

The first characterisation of  $D(L_2)$  is the following.

**Theorem 13.1.4.**  $D(L_2) = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , and  $L_2 f = \mathcal{L}f$  for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . Moreover, for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} \leq \|f\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} \leq \frac{3}{2} (\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)}). \quad (13.1.7)$$

*Proof.* The embedding  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) \subset D(L_2)$  is an easy consequence of Lemma 13.1.3(a). For every  $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  there is a sequence  $(f_k)$  of  $C_b^2$  functions such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  in  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . Then,  $\mathcal{L}f_k \rightarrow g = \mathcal{L}f$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . By Proposition 13.1.2,  $f \in D(L_2)$  and  $L_2 f = \mathcal{L}f$  for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . However, the embedding constant that comes from Lemma 13.1.3(a) is not clear, and may depend on  $d$ . It is better to use (13.1.6) and Theorem 10.2.7, with  $v = \nabla f$ , that gives the clean estimate

$$\|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} \leq \|\nabla f\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d; \mathbb{R}^d)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d (D_i f)^2 d\gamma_d \right)^{1/2} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (D_{ij} f)^2 d\gamma_d \right)^{1/2}$$

which yields

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} \leq \|f\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)}, \quad f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d).$$

To prove the other embedding we shall show that

$$\|f\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} \leq \frac{3}{2}(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)}) \quad (13.1.8)$$

for every  $f \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Indeed, by Proposition 13.1.2, if  $f \in D(L_2)$  there is a sequence  $(f_k)$  of  $C_b^3$  functions such that  $f_k$  converges to  $f$  and  $\mathcal{L}f_k$  converges in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . Applying estimate (13.1.8) to  $f_k - f_h$  we obtain that  $(f_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , so that its  $L^2$  limit  $f$  belongs to  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , and  $f$  satisfies estimate (13.1.8), too. This shows that  $D(L_2)$  is continuously embedded in  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  and that (13.1.8) holds for every  $f \in D(L_2)$ .

So, let us prove that (13.1.8) holds for every  $f \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By Lemma 13.1.3(b),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 d\gamma_d = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \mathcal{L}f d\gamma_d \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)} \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)}. \quad (13.1.9)$$

To estimate the  $L^2$  norm of the second order derivatives, we set  $\mathcal{L}f =: g$  and we differentiate with respect to  $x_j$  (this is why we consider  $C_b^3$ , instead of only  $C_b^2$ , functions) for every  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . We obtain

$$D_j(\Delta f) - \sum_{i=1}^d (\delta_{ij} D_i f + x_i D_{ji} f) = D_j g.$$

Multiplying by  $D_j f$  and summing up we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^d D_j f \Delta(D_j f) - |\nabla f|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^d x \cdot \nabla(D_j f) D_j f = \nabla f \cdot \nabla g.$$

Note that each term in the above sum belongs to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  for every  $p > 1$ . We integrate over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d D_j f \mathcal{L}(D_j f) - |\nabla f|^2 \right) d\gamma_d = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g d\gamma_d.$$

Now we use the integration formula (13.1.5), both in the left hand side and in the right hand side, obtaining

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j=1}^d |\nabla D_j f|^2 d\gamma_d - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 d\gamma_d = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \mathcal{L}f d\gamma_d$$

so that, since  $g = \mathcal{L}f$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (D_{ij} f)^2 d\gamma_d = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{L}f)^2 d\gamma_d - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 d\gamma_d \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{L}f)^2 d\gamma_d.$$

This inequality and (13.1.9) yield (13.1.8). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W^{2,2}} &\leq \|f\|_{L^2} + (\|f\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2})^{1/2} + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2}(\|f\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2}) + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2}(\|f\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

The next characterisation fits last year Isem. We recall below general results about bilinear forms in Hilbert spaces. We only need a basic result; more refined results are in last year Isem lecture notes.

Let  $V \subset W$  be real Hilbert spaces, with continuous and dense embedding, and let  $\mathcal{Q} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded bilinear form. “Bounded” means that there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)| \leq M\|u\|_V \|v\|_V$  for every  $u, v \in V$ ; “bilinear” means that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is linear both with respect to  $u$  and with respect to  $v$ .  $\mathcal{Q}$  is called “nonnegative” if  $\mathcal{Q}(u, u) \geq 0$  for every  $u \in V$ , and “coercive” if there is  $c > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}(u, u) \geq c\|u\|_V^2$ , for every  $u \in V$ ; it is called “symmetric” if  $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = \mathcal{Q}(v, u)$  for every  $u, v \in V$ . Note that the form in (13.1.10), with  $V = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ ,  $W = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  is bounded, bilinear, symmetric and nonnegative. It is not coercive, but  $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \alpha\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)}$  is coercive for every  $\alpha > 0$ .

For any bounded bilinear form  $\mathcal{Q}$ , an unbounded linear operator  $A$  in the space  $W$  is naturally associated with  $\mathcal{Q}$ .  $D(A)$  consists of the elements  $u \in V$  such that the mapping  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v \mapsto \mathcal{Q}(u, v)$ , has a linear bounded extension to the whole  $W$ . By the Riesz Theorem, this is equivalent to the existence of  $g \in W$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = \langle g, v \rangle_W$ , for every  $v \in V$ . Note that  $g$  is unique, because  $V$  is dense in  $W$ . Then we set  $Au = -g$ , where  $g$  is the unique element of  $W$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = \langle g, v \rangle_W$ , for every  $v \in V$ .

**Theorem 13.1.5.** *Let  $V \subset W$  be real Hilbert spaces, with continuous and dense embedding, and let  $\mathcal{Q} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded bilinear symmetric form, such that  $(u, v) \mapsto \mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \alpha\langle u, v \rangle_W$  is coercive for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then the operator  $A : D(A) \rightarrow W$  defined above is densely defined and self-adjoint. If in addition  $\mathcal{Q}$  is nonnegative,  $A$  is dissipative.*

*Proof.* The mapping  $(u, v) \mapsto \mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \alpha\langle u, v \rangle_W$  is an inner product in  $V$ , and the associated norm is equivalent to the  $V$ -norm, by the continuity of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and the coercivity assumption.

It is convenient to consider the operator  $\tilde{A} : D(\tilde{A}) = D(A) \rightarrow W$ ,  $\tilde{A}u := Au + \alpha u$ . Of course if  $\tilde{A}$  is self-adjoint, also  $A$  is self-adjoint.

We consider the canonical isomorphism  $T : V \rightarrow V^*$  defined by  $(Tu)(v) = \mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \alpha\langle u, v \rangle_W$  (we are using the new inner product above defined), and the embedding  $J : W \rightarrow V^*$ , such that  $(Ju)(v) = \langle u, v \rangle_W$ .  $T$  is an isometry by the Riesz Theorem, and  $J$  is bounded since for every  $u \in W$  and  $v \in V$  we have  $|(Ju)(v)| \leq \|u\|_W \|v\|_W \leq C\|u\|_W \|v\|_V$ , where  $C$  is the norm of the embedding  $V \subset W$ . Moreover,  $J$  is one to one, since  $V$  is dense in  $W$ .

By definition,  $u \in D(\tilde{A})$  iff there exists  $g \in W$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \alpha \langle u, v \rangle_W = \langle g, v \rangle_W$  for every  $v \in V$ , which means  $Tu = Jg$ , and in this case  $\tilde{A}u = -g$ .

The range of  $J$  is dense in  $V^*$ . If it were not, there would exist  $\Phi \in V^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\langle Jw, \Phi \rangle_{V^*} = 0$  for every  $w \in W$ . So, there would exist  $\varphi \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $Jw(\varphi) = 0$ , namely  $\langle w, \varphi \rangle_W = 0$  for every  $w \in W$ . This implies  $\varphi = 0$ , a contradiction. Since  $T$  is an isomorphism, the range of  $T^{-1}J$ , which is nothing but the domain of  $\tilde{A}$ , is dense in  $V$ . Since  $V$  is in its turn dense in  $W$ , then  $D(\tilde{A})$  is dense in  $W$ .

The symmetry of  $\mathcal{Q}$  implies immediately that  $\tilde{A}$  is self-adjoint. Indeed, for  $u, v \in D(\tilde{A})$  we have

$$\langle \tilde{A}u, v \rangle_W = \mathcal{Q}(u, v) + \alpha \langle u, v \rangle_W = \mathcal{Q}(v, u) + \alpha \langle v, u \rangle_W = \langle u, \tilde{A}v \rangle_W.$$

Since  $\tilde{A}$  is onto, it is self-adjoint.

The last statement is obvious: since  $\langle Au, u \rangle = -\mathcal{Q}(u, u)$  for every  $u \in D(A)$ , if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is nonnegative then  $A$  is dissipative.  $\square$

In our setting the bilinear form is

$$\mathcal{Q}(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d\gamma_d, \quad u, v \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d), \quad (13.1.10)$$

so that the assumptions of Theorem 13.1.5 are satisfied with  $W = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ ,  $V = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  and every  $\alpha > 0$ .  $D(A)$  is the set

$$\left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) : \exists g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) \text{ such that } \mathcal{Q}(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g v d\gamma_d, \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) \right\}$$

and  $Au = -g$ .

**Theorem 13.1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the bilinear form in (13.1.10). Then  $D(A) = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , and  $A = L_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . By (13.1.5) and Theorem 13.1.4, for every  $v \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}u v d\gamma_d$$

Therefore, the function  $g = \mathcal{L}u = L_2u$  fits the definition of  $Au$  (recall that  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  by Lemma 13.1.3(a)). So,  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d) \subset D(A)$  and  $Au = L_2u$  for every  $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  (the last equality follows from Theorem 13.1.4). In other words,  $A$  is a self-adjoint extension of  $L_2$ .  $L_2$  itself is self-adjoint by Corollary 11.4.5, because  $T_2(t)$  is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  by Proposition 12.1.5(ii), for every  $t > 0$ . Self-adjoint operators have no proper self-adjoint extensions (this is because  $D(L_2) \subset D(A) \Rightarrow D(A^*) \subset D(L_2^*)$ , but  $D(A^*) = D(A)$  and  $D(L_2^*) = D(L_2)$ ), hence  $D(A) = D(L_2)$  and  $A = L_2$ .  $\square$

### 13.2 The infinite dimensional case

Here, as usual,  $X$  is a separable Banach space endowed with a centred nondegenerate Gaussian measure  $\gamma$ , and  $H$  is the relevant Cameron-Martin space.

The connection between finite dimension and infinite dimension is provided by the cylindrical functions. In the next proposition we show that suitable cylindrical functions belong to  $D(L_p)$  for every  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , and we write down an explicit expression of  $L_p f$  for such  $f$ . Precisely, we fix an orthonormal basis  $\{h_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $H$  contained in  $R_\gamma(X^*)$ , and we denote by  $\Sigma$  the set of the cylindrical functions of the type  $f(x) = \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$  with  $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is a dense subspace of  $L^p(X, \gamma)$  for every  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , see Exercise 13.3. For such  $f$ , we have

$$\partial_i f(x) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_i}(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)), \quad i \leq d; \quad \partial_i f(x) = 0, \quad i > d. \quad (13.2.1)$$

To distinguish between the finite and the infinite dimensional case, we use the superscript  $(d)$  when dealing with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So,  $L_p^{(d)}$  is the infinitesimal generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup  $T^{(d)}(t)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ . We recall that  $L_p^{(d)}$  is a realisation of the operator  $\mathcal{L}^{(d)} = \Delta - x \cdot \nabla$ , namely  $L_p^{(d)} f = \mathcal{L}^{(d)} f$  for every  $f \in D(L_p^{(d)})$ .

**Proposition 13.2.1.** *Let  $\{h_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be any orthonormal basis of  $H$  contained in  $R_\gamma(X^*)$ , and let  $f(x) = \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$  with  $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ . Then for every  $t > 0$  and  $\gamma$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ ,*

$$T_p(t)f(x) = (T_p^{(d)}(t)\varphi)(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)).$$

If in addition  $\varphi \in D(L_p^{(d)})$ , then  $f \in D(L_p)$ , and

$$L_p f(x) = L_p^{(d)} \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)).$$

If  $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then

$$L_p f(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(d)} \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_{ii} f(x) - \hat{h}_i(x) \partial_i f(x)) = \operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f(x).$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For  $t > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(t)f(x) &= \int_X f(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y) \gamma(dy) \\ &= \int_X \varphi(e^{-t}\hat{h}_1(x) + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}\hat{h}_1(y), \dots, e^{-t}\hat{h}_d(x) + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}\hat{h}_d(y)) \gamma(dy) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(e^{-t}\hat{h}_1(x) + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}\xi_1, \dots, e^{-t}\hat{h}_d(x) + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}\xi_d) \gamma_d(d\xi) \\ &= (T^{(d)}(t)\varphi)(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)), \end{aligned}$$



because  $\gamma \circ (\hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_d)^{-1} = \gamma_d$  by Exercise 2.4. If  $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  is not continuous, we approximate it in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  by a sequence of continuous and bounded functions  $\varphi_n$ . The sequence  $f_n(x) := \varphi_n(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$  converges to  $f$  and the sequence  $g_n(x) := (T^{(d)}(t)\varphi_n)(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$  converges to  $(T^{(d)}(t)\varphi)(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$  in  $L^p(X, \gamma)$ , still by Exercise 2.4. Therefore,  $T(t)f_n$  converges to  $T(t)f$  in  $L^p(X, \gamma)$  for every  $t > 0$ , and the first statement follows.

Let now  $\varphi \in D(L_p^{(d)})$ . For every  $t > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \left| \frac{T(t)f(x) - f(x)}{t} - L_p^{(d)}\varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)) \right|^p \gamma(dx) \\ &= \int_X \left| \frac{T^{(d)}(t)\varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)) - \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))}{t} - L_p^{(d)}\varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)) \right|^p \gamma(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{T^{(d)}(t)\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(\xi)}{t} - L_p^{(d)}\varphi(\xi) \right|^p \gamma_d(d\xi) \end{aligned}$$

that vanishes as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . So, the second statement follows.

Let  $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By Theorem 13.1.2 we have

$$L_p^{(d)}\varphi(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^d (D_{ii}\varphi(\xi) - \xi_i D_i\varphi(\xi)) = \mathcal{L}^{(d)}\varphi(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L_p f(x) &= (\mathcal{L}^{(d)}\varphi)(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^d (D_{ii}\varphi(\xi) - \xi_i D_i\varphi(\xi))_{|\xi=(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_{ii}f(x) - \hat{h}_i(x)\partial_i f(x)), \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with  $\operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f(x)$ . See Theorem 10.2.7.  $\square$

As a consequence of Propositions 13.2.1 and 11.1.9, we obtain a characterisation of  $D(L_p)$  which is quite similar to the finite dimensional one.

**Theorem 13.2.2.** *Let  $\{h_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H$  contained in  $R_\gamma(X^*)$ . Then the subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal{FC}_b^2(X)$  defined above is a core of  $L_p$  for every  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , the restriction of  $L_p$  to  $\Sigma$  is closable in  $L^p(X, \gamma)$  and its closure is  $L_p$ . In other words,  $D(L_p)$  consists of all  $f \in L^p(X, \gamma)$  such that there exists a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $\Sigma$  which converges to  $f$  in  $L^p(X, \gamma)$  and such that  $L_p f_n = \operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f_n$  converges in  $L^p(X, \gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 13.2.1,  $\Sigma \subset D(L_p)$ . For every  $t > 0$ ,  $T(t)f \in \Sigma$  if  $f \in \Sigma$ , by Proposition 13.2.1 and Proposition 12.1.4. By Lemma 11.1.9,  $\Sigma$  is a core of  $L_p$ .  $\square$

For  $p = 2$  we can prove other characterisations.

**Theorem 13.2.3.**  $D(L_2) = W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$ , and for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$  we have

$$L_2 f = \operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f, \quad (13.2.2)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)} + \|L_2 f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)} \leq \frac{3}{2}(\|f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)} + \|L_2 f\|_{L^2(X, \gamma)}). \quad (13.2.3)$$

*Proof.* Fix an orthonormal basis of  $H$  contained in  $R_\gamma(X^*)$ . By Exercise 13.3,  $\Sigma$  is dense in  $W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$ , and by Theorem 13.2.2 it is dense in  $D(L_2)$ .

We claim that every  $f \in \Sigma$  satisfies (13.2.3), so that the  $W^{2,2}$  norm is equivalent to the graph norm of  $L_2$  on  $\Sigma$ . For every  $f \in \Sigma$ , if  $f(x) = \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$ , by Proposition 13.2.1 we have  $L_2 f(x) = (\mathcal{L}^{(d)} \varphi)(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$ , where  $\mathcal{L}^{(d)}$  is defined in (13.1.1). Recalling that  $\gamma \circ (\hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_d)^{-1} = \gamma_d$ , we get

$$\int_X f^2 d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi^2 d\gamma_d, \quad \int_X (L_2 f)^2 d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{L}^{(d)} \varphi)^2 d\gamma_d,$$

and, using (13.2.1),

$$\|f\|_{W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)} = \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)}.$$

Therefore, estimates (13.1.7) imply that  $f$  satisfies (13.2.3), and the claim is proved.

The statement is now a standard consequence of the density of  $\Sigma$  in  $W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$  and in  $D(L_2)$ . Indeed, to prove that  $W^{2,2}(X, \gamma) \subset D(L_2)$ , and that  $L_2 f = \operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f$  for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , it is sufficient to approximate any  $f \in W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$  by a sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\Sigma$ ; then  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  and  $L_2 f_n = \operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f_n$  converges to  $\operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f$  in  $L^2(X, \gamma)$  by Theorem 10.2.7, as  $\nabla_H f_n$  converges to  $\nabla_H f$  in  $L^2(X, \gamma; H)$ . Since  $L_2$  is a closed operator,  $f \in D(L_2)$  and  $L_2 f = \operatorname{div}_\gamma \nabla_H f$ . Similarly, to prove that  $D(L_2) \subset W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$  we approximate any  $f \in D(L_2)$  by a sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\Sigma$  that converges to  $f$  in the graph norm; then  $(f_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$  and therefore  $f \in W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$ .  $\square$

Eventually, as in finite dimension, we have a characterisation of  $L_2$  in terms of the bilinear form

$$\Omega(u, v) = \int_X [\nabla_H u, \nabla_H v]_H d\gamma, \quad u, v \in W^{1,2}(X, \gamma). \quad (13.2.4)$$

Applying Theorem 13.1.5 with  $W = L^2(X, \gamma)$ ,  $V = W^{1,2}(X, \gamma)$  we obtain

**Theorem 13.2.4.** *Let  $A$  be the operator associated with the bilinear form  $\Omega$  above. Then  $D(A) = W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$ , and  $A = L_2$ .*

The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 13.1.6 and it is omitted.

Note that Theorem 13.2.4 implies that for every  $f \in D(L_2) = W^{2,2}(X, \gamma)$  and for every  $g \in W^{1,2}(X, \gamma)$  we have

$$\int_X L_2 f g d\gamma = - \int_X [\nabla_H f, \nabla_H g]_H d\gamma \quad (13.2.5)$$

that is the infinite dimensional version of (13.1.5). Proposition 11.4.3 implies that  $L_2$  is a sectorial operator, therefore the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is analytic in  $L^2(X, \gamma)$ .

We mention that, by general results about semigroups and interpolation theory (e.g. [D, Thm. 1.4.2]),  $\{T_p(t) : p \geq 0\}$  is an analytic semigroup in  $L^p(X, \gamma)$  for every  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . However, this fact will not be used in these lectures.

A result similar to Theorem 13.2.3 holds also for  $p \neq 2$ . More precisely, for every  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ ,  $D(L_p) = W^{2,p}(X, \gamma)$ , and the graph norm of  $D(L_p)$  is equivalent to the  $W^{2,p}$  norm. But the proof is not as simple. We refer to [M] and [B, Sect. 5.5] for the infinite dimensional case, and to [MPRS] for an alternative proof in the finite dimensional case.

### 13.3 Exercises

**Exercise 13.1.** Let  $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a mollifier, i.e. a smooth function with support in  $B(0, 1)$  such that

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \varrho(x) dx = 1.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  set

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-d} \varrho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Prove that if  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$ , then

$$f_\varepsilon(x) := f * \varrho_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \varrho_\varepsilon(x - y) dy,$$

is well defined, belongs to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  and converges to  $f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .

**Exercise 13.2.** Prove that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ ,  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  and that  $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d))$  for every  $t > 0$ .

**Exercise 13.3.** Let  $\{h_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be any orthonormal basis of  $H$  contained in  $R_\gamma(X^*)$ . Prove that the set  $\Sigma$  of the cylindrical functions of the type  $f(x) = \varphi(\hat{h}_1(x), \dots, \hat{h}_d(x))$  with  $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , is dense in  $L^p(X, \gamma)$  and in  $W^{2,p}(X, \gamma)$  for every  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ .

**Exercise 13.4.**

- (i) With the help of Proposition 10.1.2, show that if  $f \in W^{1,p}(X, \gamma)$  with  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  is such that  $\nabla_H f = 0$  a.e., then  $f$  is a.e. constant.
- (ii) Use point (i) to show that for every  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  the kernel of  $L_p$  consists of the constant functions.  
(HINT: First of all, prove that  $T(t)f = f$  for all  $f \in D(L_p)$  such that  $L_p f = 0$  and then pass to the limit as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  in (12.1.3))

## Bibliography

- [B] V. I. BOGACHEV: *Gaussian Measures*. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [D] B. DAVIES: *Heat kernels and spectral theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [M] P.A. MEYER: *Transformations de Riesz pour les lois gaussiennes*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1059** (1984), 179-193.
- [MPRS] G. METAFUNE, J. PRÜSS, A. RHANDI, R. SCHNAUBELT: *The domain of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on a  $L^p$  space with invariant measure*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **1** (2002), 471-485.