

Exercise 1.1. Let μ be a finite positive measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) . Then, it satisfies the monotonicity property, and recall that if $A \subset B$ then $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$. Indeed $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$, and from the properties of μ it follows that $\mu(A \cup (B \setminus A)) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$.

- If $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of subsets of X , that is $E_n \subset E_{n+1}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$E_n = \bigcup_{h=1}^n F_h, \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{h=1}^{+\infty} F_h,$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $F_1 = E_1$ and $F_h := E_h \setminus E_{h-1}$, for any $h \geq 2$. Hence from the properties of μ

$$\mu(E_n) = \sum_{h=1}^n \mu(F_h),$$

and since $\mu(E_n)$ is increasing, the limit of $\mu(E_n)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ exists. Passing to the limit we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(E_n) = \sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} \mu(F_h) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{h=1}^{+\infty} F_h\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{h=1}^{+\infty} E_h\right),$$

where the second equality follows from the σ -additivity of μ .

- Let $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of subsets of X , that is $E_{n+1} \subset E_n$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the De Morgan laws we have

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n^c\right)^c = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n^c.$$

Since the sequence $\{E_n^c\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing, from part (i) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n\right) &= \mu(X) - \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n^c\right) \\ &= \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(E_n^c) \\ &= \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\mu(X) - \mu(E_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(E_n), \end{aligned}$$

and the last term makes sense because the sequence $\{\mu(E_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing in \mathbb{R} .

Exercise 1.2.

- Let μ be a finite real measure. For all $E \in \mathcal{F}$ we define

$$|\mu|(E) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_k)| : E_k \in \mathcal{F} \text{ pairwise disjoint, } E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right\} \quad (1)$$

We prove that $|\mu|$ is positive finite measure.

First we prove that $|\mu|$ is a finite measure.

Since μ is a finite real measure, there exist μ_1, μ_2 positive finite measures such that $\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2$. Let $E \in \mathcal{F}$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition $\{E_h\}_{h \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}$ of E such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu|(E) &\leq \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_h)| + \varepsilon = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |\mu_1(E_h) - \mu_2(E_h)| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(E_h) + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(E_h) + \varepsilon = \mu_1(E) + \mu_2(E) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

then the total variation $|\mu|$ is finite.

Now we prove that $|\mu|$ is a positive measure and $|\mu|(\emptyset) = 0$.

The positivity of $|\mu|$ follows by definition (1). If $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a measurable partition of \emptyset , then $E_n = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 1$, therefore $|\mu|(\emptyset) = 0$.

Finally we prove that $|\mu|$ is σ -additive.

Let $E \in \mathcal{F}$. We define $E_1 = E$ and $E_n = \emptyset$ for $n \geq 2$. Therefore $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a measurable partition of E . By definition (1), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| \leq |\mu|(E)$$

then we get $|\mu(E)| \leq |\mu|(E)$.

Let $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ a measurable partition of E . Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and for each j , choose a partition $\{A_{i,n}\}_{i \geq 1}$ of E_n such that

$$|\mu|(E_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\nu(A_{i,n})| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\nu(A_{i,n})| + \varepsilon \leq |\nu|(E) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n) \leq |\nu|(E) = |\nu|\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right).$$

For the reverse inequality, let $\{A_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be another partition of E . Since $\{A_i \cap E_n\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a measurable partition of E_n and $\{A_i \cap E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a measurable partition of A_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_i)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i \cap E_n) \right| \leq \sum_{i,n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_i \cap E_n)| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(A_i \cap E_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all measurable partitions $\{A_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of E we get

$$|\mu|(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n).$$

- Let μ be a positive measure and $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$, and let $\nu := f\mu$ be the measure defined by

$$\nu(B) = \int_B f d\mu, \forall B \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We prove that

$$|\nu|(B) = \int_B |f| d\mu, \forall B \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We recall that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to $|\nu|$; then there exists a unique real valued function $h \in L^1(X, |\nu|)$ such that $\nu = h|\nu|$ and $|h| = 1$ $|\nu|$ -a.e., therefore for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$ we get

$$\nu(E) = \int_E h d|\nu|.$$

For any $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\int_E f \chi_F d\mu = \int_{E \cap F} f d\mu = \nu(E \cap F) = \int_E h \chi_F d|\nu|.$$

Given $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded and measurable, we claim that:

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{F}, \int_E fg d\mu = \int_E hg d|\nu| \quad (2)$$

We know that equation (2) holds for $g = \chi_F$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}$. By the linearity of the integral, (2) is also true whenever g is a simple function on (X, \mathcal{F}) . Let g be nonnegative, measurable and bounded, then there exists a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of simple functions on (X, \mathcal{F}) such that $s_n \uparrow g$. Having proved (2) for simple functions, for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\int_X \chi_E f s_n d\mu = \int_X \chi_E h s_n d|\nu| \quad (3)$$

From $s_n \rightarrow g$ we get: $\chi_E f s_n \rightarrow \chi_E f g$ and $\chi_E h s_n \rightarrow \chi_E h g$. Since $|\chi_E f s_n| \leq |f|g \in L^1(X, \mu)$ (since g is bounded) and $|\chi_E h s_n| \leq |h|g \in L^1(X, |\nu|)$, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\int_X \chi_E f s_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_X \chi_E f g d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X \chi_E h s_n d|\nu| \rightarrow \int_X \chi_E h g d|\nu|$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking the limit in (3) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that (2) holds for all non-negative measurable, bounded g . If g is now an arbitrary \mathbb{C} -valued map which is measurable and bounded, then it can be expressed as $g = g_1 - g_2 + i(g_3 - g_4)$ where each g_i is non-negative, measurable and bounded. From the linearity of the integral, we conclude that (2) is also true for g , which completes the proof of our initial claim.

Since $|h| = 1$, applying (2) to $g = \text{sign}(h)$, for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$ we get

$$\int_E f \text{sign}(h) d\mu = \int_E h \text{sign}(h) d|\nu| = \int_E d|\nu| = |\nu|(E).$$

The total variation $|\nu|$, of the finite real measure ν , has values in \mathbb{R}^+ , hence:

$$\int_E f \text{sign}(h) d\mu \geq 0$$

Define $\varphi = f \text{sign}(h)$. Then $\varphi \in L^1(X, \mu)$, moreover for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\int_E \varphi d\mu \geq 0.$$

Taking $E = \{\varphi < -1/n\}$ for some $n \geq 1$ we get

$$0 \leq \int_E \varphi d\mu \leq -\frac{1}{n} \mu(\{\varphi < -1/n\}) \leq 0$$

from which we see that $\mu(\{\varphi < -1/n\}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since

$$\{\varphi < 0\} \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{\varphi < -1/n\}$$

it follows that $\mu(\{\varphi < 0\}) = 0$ and consequently, $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ μ -a.e., that is $f \text{sign}(h) \geq 0$ a.e..

Then there exists $N \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(N) = 0$ and $f(x) \text{sign}(h)(x) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all $x \in X \setminus N$. In particular, since $|h(x)| = 1$, for all $x \in X \setminus N$ we have

$$f(x) \text{sign}(h)(x) = |f(x) \text{sign}(h)(x)| = |f(x)|.$$

It follows that $f \text{sign}(h) = |f|$ μ -a.e..

Therefore for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$|\nu|(E) = \int_E f \text{sign}(h) d\mu = \int_E |f| d\mu.$$

- Now we prove that if $\mu \perp \nu$ then $|\mu + \nu| = |\mu| + |\nu|$.

Since $\mu \perp \nu$ then there exist $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $|\mu|(A) = 0$ and $|\nu|(X \setminus A) = 0$. For $E \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $E = (E \cap A) \cup (E \cap (X \setminus A))$ and $(E \cap A) \cap (E \cap (X \setminus A)) = \emptyset$. By the additivity we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu + \nu|(E) &= |\mu + \nu|(E \cap A) + |\mu + \nu|(E \cap (X \setminus A)) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu(B_k) + \nu(B_k)| : E \cap A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \right\} + \\ &\quad + \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu(F_k) + \nu(F_k)| : E \cap (X \setminus A) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $|\mu(A)| \leq |\mu|(A) = 0$ so that $\mu(A) = 0$; since $B_k \subset A$ for all $k \geq 1$ we have $\mu(B_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Similarly $\nu(F_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu + \nu|(E) &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu(B_k)| : E \cap A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \right\} + \\ &\quad + \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu(F_k)| : E \cap (X \setminus A) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right\} \\ &= |\nu|(E \cap A) + |\mu|(E \cap (X \setminus A)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall that $|\nu|(E \cap (X \setminus A)) = 0 = |\mu|(E \cap A)$, then

$$|\mu + \nu|(E) = |\mu|(E) + |\nu|(E).$$

Exercise 1.4. Prove the Vitali–Lebesgue Theorem 1.1.7

Proof. We will need the following two well known results (see Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*):

Theorem 1 (Riesz’s Theorem). Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and let μ be a positive finite measure on it. Every sequence (f_k) of measurable functions which converges in measure to a function f , contains a subsequence converging to f pointwise μ -a.e.

Theorem 2 (Egorov’s Theorem). Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and let μ be a positive finite measure on it. If (f_k) is a sequence of measurable functions which converges pointwise μ -a.e. on X , then for every $\epsilon > 0$ a measurable set $E_\epsilon \subseteq X$ exists such that $\mu(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ and f_k converges uniformly on $X \setminus E_\epsilon$.

Let (f_k) a sequence satisfying the hypotheses of the Vitali–Lebesgue Theorem, by Theorem 1 we can assume that f_k converges pointwise μ -a.e. to f .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and fix $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{|f_k| > M} |f_k| d\mu < \epsilon \quad \text{for every } M \geq M_0$$

By Fatou’s Lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |f| d\mu &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X |f_k| d\mu \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_X |f_k| d\mu \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{|f_k| \leq M_0} |f_k| d\mu + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{|f_k| > M_0} |f_k| d\mu \leq M_0 \mu(X) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$, in particular there exists $\overline{M} > M_0$ such that $\int_{|f| > \overline{M}} |f| d\mu < \epsilon$. Now let E_ϵ the sets obtained applying Egorov’s Theorem and $k \geq k_0$ such

that $\sup_{x \in X \setminus E_\epsilon} |f_k - f| < \epsilon$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X |f_k - f| d\mu &= \int_{X \setminus E_\epsilon} |f_k - f| d\mu + \int_{E_\epsilon} |f_k - f| d\mu \leq \\
&\leq \mu(X \setminus E_\epsilon) \sup_{x \in X \setminus E_\epsilon} |f_k - f| + \int_{E_\epsilon} |f_k| d\mu + \int_{E_\epsilon} |f| d\mu \leq \\
&\leq \mu(X)\epsilon + \int_{E_\epsilon \cap \{|f_k| \leq M_0\}} |f_k| d\mu + \int_{E_\epsilon \cap \{|f_k| > M_0\}} |f_k| d\mu + \\
&\quad + \int_{E_\epsilon \cap \{|f| \leq \bar{M}\}} |f| d\mu + \int_{E_\epsilon \cap \{|f| > \bar{M}\}} |f| d\mu \leq \\
&\leq \mu(X)\epsilon + M_0\epsilon + \epsilon + \bar{M}\epsilon + \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.5. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ be the σ -fields generated by $\mathcal{G} := \{E_1 \times E_2 : E_i \in \mathcal{X}_i, i = 1, 2\}$, and, for any $x \in X_1$ and $y \in X_2$, let us set $E_x := \{y \in X_2 : (x, y) \in E\}$ and $E^y := \{x \in X_1 : (x, y) \in E\}$. We want to prove that the families of sets

$$\mathcal{G}_x := \{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 : F_x \in \mathcal{F}_2\}, \quad \mathcal{G}^y := \{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 : F^y \in \mathcal{F}_1\},$$

are σ -fields and contain \mathcal{G} . Since the proofs for \mathcal{G}_x and \mathcal{G}^y are analogous, we consider only \mathcal{G}_x .

Let $x \in X$. We show that \mathcal{G}_x is a σ -field.

- (i) $\emptyset, X_1 \times X_2 \in \mathcal{G}_x$. Indeed, we have $\emptyset_x = \{y \in X_2 : (x, y) \in \emptyset\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_2$, and $(X_1 \times X_2)_x = \{y \in X_2 : (x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2\} = X_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$.
- (ii) If $F \in \mathcal{G}_x$, then $F^c \in \mathcal{G}_x$. Since $F \in \mathcal{G}_x$, $F_x \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and so $(F_x)^c \in \mathcal{F}_2$. We note that $F^c \in \mathcal{G}_x$ is equivalent to the condition $(F^c)_x \in \mathcal{F}_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(F^c)_x &:= \{y \in X_2 : (x, y) \in F^c\} = \{y \in X_2 : (x, y) \notin F\} \\
&= \{y \in X_2 : (x, y) \in F\}^c = (F_x)^c \in \mathcal{F}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

- (iii) If $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}_x$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n \in \mathcal{G}_x$. This is true if and only if

$$\left\{ y \in X_2 : (x, y) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n \right\} = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n \right)_x \in \mathcal{F}_2.$$

From the definition we get

$$\begin{aligned}
y \in \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n \right)_x &\iff (x, y) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n \\
&\iff (x, y) \in F_n, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
&\iff y \in (F_n)_x, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
&\iff y \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} (F_n)_x.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_n \in \mathcal{G}_x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(F_n)_x \in \mathcal{F}_2$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} (F_n)_x \in \mathcal{F}_2$, and from the previous chain of implications we can conclude that $\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n\right)_x \in \mathcal{F}_2$.

Finally, we show that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_x$. If $E = E_1 \times E_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, then

$$E_x = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & x \notin E_1, \\ E_2, & x \in E_1, \end{cases}$$

which means $E_x \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and $E \in \mathcal{G}_x$.

Exercise 1.7. For $a, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\widehat{\gamma}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ix\xi} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-a)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx.$$

We set $y = \frac{x-a}{\sigma}$, then $dx = \sigma dy$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\gamma}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(\sigma y+a)\xi} e^{-y^2/2} dy = \frac{e^{ia\xi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2 - 2\sigma\xi iy}{2}\right\} dy \\ &= \frac{e^{ia\xi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2 - 2\sigma\xi iy - \xi^2\sigma^2 + \xi^2\sigma^2}{2}\right\} dy \\ &= e^{ia\xi - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\xi^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y - i\sigma\xi)^2}{2}\right\} dy \\ &= e^{ia\xi - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\xi^2} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.8. (Layer cake formula) Prove that if μ is a positive finite measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) and $0 \leq f \in L^1(X, \mu)$, then

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_0^{+\infty} \mu(\{x \in X \mid f(x) > t\}) dt.$$

Proof. Let

$$H = \{(x, t) \in X \times [0, +\infty) : f(x) - t > 0\}$$

and observe that

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid f(x) > t\}) = \mu(H^t) = \int_X \chi_{H^t}(x) d\mu(x)$$

where H^t is the vertical section of H (it is a measurable set by Exercise 1.5). It follows

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \mu(\{x \in X \mid f(x) > t\}) dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_X \chi_{H^t}(x) d\mu(x) \right) dt =$$

now we apply Fubini's Theorem

$$= \int_X \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \chi_{H^t}(x) dt \right) d\mu(x) =$$

and by the fact that $\chi_{H^t}(x) = 1$ if, and only if, $|f(x)| > t$ we have

$$= \int_E \left(\int_0^{f(x)} dt \right) d\mu(x) =$$

we now use the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$= \int_X f(x) d\mu(x).$$